



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,513	12/05/2003	Nobuaki Ogawa	P24662	7838
7055	7590	04/23/2008	EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			HAMO, PATRICK	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	3746			
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
04/23/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gpatent@gpatent.com
pto@gpatent.com

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/727,513	OGAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PATRICK HAMO	3746

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PATRICK HAMO. (3) ENOCH PEAVEY.

(2) DEVON KRAMER. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kurihara et al., 7,083,399; Saito et al., 2002/0039532; Makino et al., 6,808,372.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that a limitation that made it more explicit that an end surface of the inverter case was connected to an end wall of said housing, wherein the end surface defines at least part of said intake passage would patentably distinguish over the prior art discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Devon Kramer/
Devon Kramer, SPE AU 3746

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.